

Telephone: 07920160206
E-Mail: stephen.chesney-beales@peterborough.gov.uk
Please ask for: Stephen Chesney-Beales
Our Ref: TPO: 23/00003/TPO

Appendix x 5



Please reply to:
Natural & Historic
Environment Team
Planning Services
Place & Economy
Peterborough City Council
Sand Martin House
Fletton Quays
Peterborough
PE2 8TY

19th April 2023

Owners/Occupiers
2 Sheridan Road
Peterborough
PE1 3LG

TO WHOM IT MAY CONCERN

Re - Survey of Local Homeowners Views regarding the making of Tree Preservation Order (TPO) 23/00003/TPO 99 & 101 Fulbridge Road, Peterborough

Further to the above Survey and the making of the above TPO, your views have been submitted with two objection letters.

Please respond in writing within 14 days of receipt of this letter, letting the Council know if you are objection to the making of the TPO, stating the reasons for objecting. Any objections should be received by the Council, no later than 3rd May 2023.

Before responding, please note the following points:

I note the details of the Survey conducted with regards to the views of both you and your neighbours. I can confirm, I believe the TPO is justified, the trees have significant public amenity value and are considered acceptable and suitable in their rear garden settings, given the size of the rear gardens in a residential area. I consider the trees to be safe, despite the dead branches within the crowns and their height.

The trees subject of the TPO remain the responsibility of the landowner, as does any obligation under the Occupiers Liability Act 1984. The only difference now is the landowner must seek permission from the Council before carrying out any works, apart from exemptions. There is no cost in making an application to carry out works to protected trees.

Please note, all Government advice on TPO's can be found at: <https://www.gov.uk/guidance/tree-preservation-orders-and-trees-in-conservation-areas>

In the above guidance it states - *It may be expedient to make an Order (TPO) if the authority believes there is a risk of trees being felled, pruned or damaged in ways which would have a significant impact on the amenity of the area. But it is not necessary for there to be immediate risk for there to be a need to protect trees. In some cases the authority may believe that certain trees are at risk as a result of development pressures and may consider, where this is in the interests of amenity, that it is expedient to make an Order. Authorities can also consider other sources of risks to trees with significant amenity value. For example, changes in property ownership and intentions*

to fell trees are not always known in advance, so it may sometimes be appropriate to proactively make Orders as a precaution.

I believe, the TPO has been made and served correctly regarding the current legislation.

Yours sincerely

Stephen Chesney-Beales
Tree Officer